This inaugural memorial lecture provides an opportunity to celebrate the life of Elijah B. Saunders, MD, FACC and pays tribute to his pioneering spirit in the quest to advance health equity in the prevention and control of hypertension and cardiovascular diseases. It also enables an assessment of the state of the global burden of hypertension and related disparities. Despite the remarkable biomedical research progress made over the last half-century, hypertension remains the leading risk factor for global disease burden and the major preventable contributor to cardiovascular and all-cause mortality. Additionally, disparities in hypertension-related morbidity and mortality remain pervasive worldwide. National hypertension control rates showing progress often mask important suboptimal treatment and control in population groups defined by sex, race, ethnicity, geography, and social and environmental determinants. Within these groups, many hypertension-related disparities remain largely unchanged while other gaps have widened. In essence, current research has been relatively ineffective in guiding large-scale, sustained elimination of hypertension-related disparities. An important explanation for these observations may be the significant advances made in observational epidemiological research, especially in improved surveillance and data collection that document the extent of disparities in marked contrast to the relative paucity of interventional disparities research. The paucity of these interventional research studies remains a continuing challenge. The time has come for renewed efforts in building strategic partnerships that leverage transdisciplinary, multi-sectoral expertise to provide global leadership in interventional implementation research for hypertension control and elimination of related disparities. Developing an appropriately skilled implementation research workforce will be crucial. The

**INTRODUCTION**

The Inaugural Elijah B. Saunders Memorial Keynote Lecture at the 2015 National Medical Association Convention provided a unique opportunity to pay tribute to a quiet medical giant who touched the lives of numerous patients. Dr. Saunders (Figure 1) dedicated his life to patient care, teaching, clinical research, and community service. The patient was at the center of his professional activities. He was a pioneer in efforts to advance health equity in the prevention and control of hypertension for medically underserved communities in his native Baltimore, Maryland, and in other parts of the United States and globally.²⁻⁴ He was also among the first to recognize that

“The patient, like art, is bigger than we are. He will have the last word. He will outlast us. His pain will be ours, and his terror, and his hopes, and finally, perhaps, his illness. For what are we all, ultimately, but patients.”

From JH Stone. The Patient as Art. The Pharos of Alpha Omega Alpha. 1974;37:9-10,31
Quoted in the preface to Hypertension in Blacks by Hall, Saunders, Shulman.¹
effective prevention and control of hypertension required reaching beyond the clinic to engage patients and their families in their places of worship, through social media, and as part of community partnerships for action. As one of the founders of the International Society of Hypertension in Blacks, Dr. Saunders was a strong advocate for advancing hypertension education, training, research, and patient care in Africa and the African diaspora worldwide. It was therefore considered quite appropriate that the inaugural lecture focus on the global consequences of hypertension and related health disparities. In this article, the content of that inaugural lecture is presented with emphasis on the global implications of raised blood pressure and related health disparities.

The Global Prevalence of Hypertension and Related Disparities

Hypertension is the leading risk factor for global disease burden and the major contributor to cardiovascular complications and mortality worldwide. An estimated one billion adults live with hypertension worldwide – a prevalence that is projected to increase to 1.6 billion by 2025. Researchers have documented important disparities in hypertension prevalence and global trends in population mean systolic blood pressure. For example, in a systematic analysis of health examination surveys and epidemiological studies with 786 country-years and 5.4 million participants between 1980 and 2008, Danaei et al showed that average systolic blood pressure declined at the global level by 1.0 mm Hg per decade in women and 0.8 mm Hg per decade in men. However, this modest global decline masked some fairly dramatic regional trends. In Western Europe, Australasia, high-income North America, and Asia Pacific, systolic blood pressure declined significantly in both sexes. In contrast, systolic blood pressure appeared unchanged in the Caribbean and increased in Oceania, east Africa, and South and Southeast Asia for both sexes, and in West Africa for women. Although systolic blood pressure in women fell by as much as 3.5 mm Hg per decade or greater in Western Europe and Australasia, it increased by as much as 1.0 – 2.7 mm Hg per decade in women in South and Southeast Asia, and in East and West Africa. Similarly, systolic blood pressure in men was highest in some East and West African and some Baltic countries where the mean systolic blood pressure was at least 138 mm Hg. These data suggest worrisome trends for hypertension, especially in many low- and middle-income countries in comparison to observations in high-income countries.

Data from nationally representative household assessments from 2007 to 2010 as part of the World Health Organization Study on Global Aging and Adult Health showed that hypertension prevalence in the six middle-income countries examined (China, Ghana, India, Mexico, Russia, and South Africa) ranged from 23% in India to 52% in Russia. Overall, hypertension prevalence in these six countries was 37%. Among all hypertensive persons, two-thirds were diagnosed and 90% were untreated. In multivariate analyses, advancing age, sex, health insurance status, and alcohol use were all important drivers of increased hypertension prevalence and rates of being undiagnosed in these middle-income countries.

In another study of sample surveys that were national in scope and conducted in the 1990s in several...
eral European countries, Canada, and the United States, marked geographic disparities in the prevalence of hypertension were noted. The overall age- and sex-adjusted prevalence of hypertension was higher in the European countries (44%) than in the two North American countries (28%) when using a threshold systolic/diastolic blood pressure of 140/90 mm Hg to define hypertension.15 Similarly marked geographic disparities in the treatment and control of hypertension were found across these European countries, Canada, and the United States.16 For example, among persons aged 35 to 64 years who were taking medications to control hypertension to the 140/90 mmHg blood pressure target, control rates were 29% in the United States, 17% in Canada, and 10% or lower in Germany, Sweden, England, Spain, and Italy.16 More recent surveys show varying degrees of progress made in the treatment and control of hypertension in several countries. For example, Falaschetti et al17 examined cross-sectional data from the Health Survey for England and demonstrated that hypertension control among treated patients nearly doubled from 33% in 1994 to 63% in 2011. However, among all adults with survey-defined hypertension in 2011, hypertension was controlled in only 37%.17 Similarly, in the most recent analysis of five population-based data sources that included the Canadian Health Measures Survey to determine the latest directly measured prevalence, awareness and control estimates in 2012-2013, Padwal et al18 reported control rates of >68% among all hypertensive adults; this rate is significantly higher than corresponding control rates in China and the United States (-10% and 53%, respectively).18-21

These national-level geographic differences mask an even worse picture of hypertension prevalence, treatment and control for many population groups and communities at the sub-national level. For example, the United States National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (for non-institutionalized adults aged >18 years) in 2011-2014 showed a national hypertension prevalence of 29% but a much higher prevalence in non-Hispanic Blacks (41.2%).19 Similarly, the national prevalence of controlled hypertension in adults was 53%; but was much higher among non-Hispanic Whites (55.7%) than non-Hispanic Blacks (48.5%), non-Hispanic Asians (43.5%), or Hispanics (47.4%).19 In the Hispanic Community Study/Study of Latinos, Sorlie et al showed that, although the overall age-adjusted prevalence of hypertension was 26.1% for men and 25.3% for women, the corresponding prevalence was lowest in South American women (17.2%) and highest in Dominican men (34.3%).22 Figure 2 shows the age-standardized prevalence of total hypertension in United States counties by sex, race, and ethnicity among adults aged >30 years in 2001 and 2009. These disparities in prevalence and control of hypertension have major consequences and implications for hypertension-related fatal and non-fatal events at the county and national levels. They also highlight the important role race, ethnicity, geographic location, educational attainment, and other social and environmental determinants play in the consequences and complications of hypertension.

**Disparities in Hypertension-Related Mortality**

In one study comparing recent national survey data on prevalence, awareness, treatment and control of hypertension in England, United States and Canada, and correlated survey findings with each country’s stroke and ischemic heart disease (IHD) mortality, Joffres et al demonstrated that national-level differences in mortality rates from stroke and IHD paralleled the differences in hypertension awareness, treatment and control between these three countries. In addition, stroke and IHD mortality were strongly inversely correlated with mean systolic blood pressure in each country.21 These findings most likely also hold at the sub-national level. For example, in the United States, hypertension-related mortality is highest in African Americans who have the highest prevalence of hypertension and also have control rates lower than the national average rate or the control rates in non-Hispanic Whites.23 Trends in age-adjusted hypertension-related death rates in the United States are shown in Figure 3. Although the gap in hypertension-related mortality rates between non-Hispanic Blacks and non-Hispanic Whites is beginning to narrow, it remains approximately 70% higher in non-Hispanic Blacks.21
Figure 2. Age-standardized prevalence of total hypertension in US counties by sex, race, and Hispanic origin among adults 30 years and older in 2001 and 2009.

is even starker when analyzed by sex. For example, in 2013, the death rates per 100,000 were nearly 52 for non-Hispanic Black men but 19 for non-Hispanic White men, and 20 for Hispanic men. The corresponding rates for women were 37 for non-Hispanic Black women, 16 for non-Hispanic White women, and 15 for Hispanic women.

Although Hispanics/Latinos in the United States are considered as one ethnic group, significant differences in hypertension prevalence and related mortality have been demonstrated when the population is stratified by geographic origin as shown in the Hispanic Community Health Study/Study of Latinos that includes individuals of Cuban, Dominican, Mexican, Puerto Rican, Central American, and South American backgrounds.22,25 We have previously demonstrated that Puerto Rican Americans had consistently higher hypertension-related mortality rates than all other Hispanic subpopulations and non-Hispanic Whites.26,27

**ADVANCING RESEARCH IN HYPERTENSION-RELATED DISPARITIES**

Despite the substantial investments in hypertension research and the wealth of available data on effective interventions, hypertension and related disparities in preva-
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...in our assessment, these findings call for the need to strengthen the evidence base for effective interventions that can guide dissemination and translation into policy and practice.29

“understanding the determinants that underlie disparities.”28 At the national and global level, we have much fewer research examples from the third phase that focuses on “development and implementation of interventions that reduce or eliminate disparities in health or health care.”28 Important issues related to phase 3 research within this conceptual framework include: appropriateness of the intervention for the communities of interest; acceptability and affordability of the interventions; availability of appropriate evaluation techniques; assessment of organizational readiness for the interventions; and importantly, development of strategies that are likely to inform and influence policy.28

To explore the challenge of limited phase 3 health services-related disparities research in hypertension in the United States, we identified 39 interventions and several state and national policy initiatives that were designed to address the reduction of racial and ethnic disparities in hypertension control.29 Most of the participants in these studies were African American patients. Among the completed interventions, 27 demonstrated some improvement in hypertension control or related process measures, while 7 did not. Of the 6 studies that specifically examined disparities, 3 reduced, 2 increased, and 1 had no effect on disparities.29 Thus, in our assessment, these findings call for the need to strengthen the evidence base for effective interventions that can guide dissemination and translation into policy and practice.29 There is also the concomitant need to stimulate rigorous evaluation of pragmatic, sustainable and multilevel interventions. Long-term success in these efforts will also require attention to building a pipeline for training an appropriate dissemination and implementation research workforce. Strategic transdisciplinary partnerships among payers, patients, providers, researchers, policymakers, and community-based organizations will be crucial in these endeavors.29

CONCLUSIONS

This inaugural keynote lecture has provided an opportunity to celebrate the life of Dr. Elijah Saunders and pay tribute to his pioneering spirit in the quest to advance health equity in the prevention and control of hypertension and cardiovascular diseases. It has also enabled an assessment of the state of the global burden of hypertension and related disparities. Although important progress has been made, the global consequences of uncontrolled hypertension remain dire. The paucity of interventional research studies to inform clinical and public health practice for the elimination of hypertension-related disparities remains the Achilles’ heel of health disparities research and a void that Dr. Saunders recognized and tirelessly promoted as critical to eliminating disparities.3,4 The time has come for renewed efforts in building strategic partnerships that leverage transdisciplinary, multisectoral expertise to provide global leadership in rigorously designed interventional studies that point the way to optimal prevention and control of hypertension and elimination of related disparities. The National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute and its global alliance of biomedical research funding partners remain committed to a strategic agenda of implementation research, training and education for the prevention and control of hypertension and the elimination of related disparities.30, 31
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