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**Objective:** Hypertriglyceridemic waist (HTG-waist), an increased waist circumference (WC) with an elevated triglyceride (TG) concentration, can identify increased cardiometabolic risk in apparently healthy individuals. Since WC and BMI are highly correlated, we examined whether an HTG-BMI would be as effective as an HTG-waist in identifying cardiometabolic risk in apparently healthy South Asians.

**Design, Setting and Participants:** In this cross-sectional study, we classified South Asian women (n=1156) and men (n=1842) with diabetes mellitus as having an HTG-waist (TG ≥150 mg/dL and a WC ≥80 cm in women or ≥90 cm in men) and an HTG-BMI (TG ≥150 mg/dL and a BMI ≥23 kg/m²).

**Outcome Measures:** We measured cardiometabolic risk factors, including blood pressure and fasting lipid profile, glucose, insulin, fibrinogen, and high-sensitivity C-reactive protein.

**Results:** An HTG-waist was present in 670 individuals, of whom 648 (97%) had an HTG-BMI. The cardiometabolic profile was significantly more adverse in those in whom an HTG-waist was present vs absent; and the same was true when individuals with an HTG-BMI were compared with those without.

**Conclusions:** Essentially every individual with an HTG-waist also had an HTG-BMI. An HTG-BMI identified cardiometabolic risk as effectively as an HTG-waist in a population composed entirely of South Asians. *Ethn Dis.* 2016;26(2):191-196; doi:10.18865/ed.26.2.191
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**INTRODUCTION**

South Asians have a higher incidence of coronary heart disease (CHD) than individuals of European descent and present with first acute myocardial infarction at younger ages. In the study by Joshi et al., among the several CHD risk factors that differentiated native South Asians from those living in other countries was an increase in abdominal obesity. Of interest in this context is the suggestion by Lemieux and colleagues that the combination of abdominal obesity and an elevated triglyceride concentration comprise an entity designated as hypertriglyceridemic waist (HTG-waist) that identifies individuals with an “atherogenic metabolic triad,” characterized by “hyperinsulinemia, elevated apo B, small, dense LDL.” The association between an HTG-waist and abnormal coronary angiography has been confirmed in both men and women, and prospective studies have shown that an HTG-waist is a predictor of CHD. The cardiometabolic abnormalities subsumed under the rubric of HTG-waist are similar to the cluster of abnormalities associated with insulin resistance; and insulin resistance in nondiabetic individuals is associated with glucose intolerance, hyperinsulinemia, and an atherogenic lipoprotein profile, consisting of: hypertriglyceridemia; low high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) concentrations; small, dense low-density lipoprotein particles; and changes in apolipoprotein A-1 and apolipoprotein B concentrations.

Abdominal obesity, as ascertained by determining waist circumference (WC), is associated with decreased insulin sensitivity and an increase in cardiometabolic risk factors. However, it appears that this is also the case if body mass index (BMI) is used as the index of excess adiposity. Furthermore, BMI and WC are themselves highly correlated; an obese WC in most individuals is accompanied by an obese BMI, and the correlation between a direct
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measure of insulin resistance and the two indices of obesity is essentially identical.\textsuperscript{14-16} Thus, at a clinical level, it does not seem self-evident that the ability to identify apparently healthy individuals at increased CHD risk will differ substantially if hypertriglyceridemia were combined with BMI vs WC. In that context, it has been argued that insulin resistance and increased CHD risk in South Asians is related to their greater degree of abdominal vs overall obesity.\textsuperscript{17-20} Thus, if an HTG-waist more effectively identified apparently healthy individuals at increased CHD risk than an HTG-BMI, it should be most clearly shown in a population of South Asians. Our current analysis was initiated to see if this prediction was borne out.

**Methods**

**Study Population**

Our analysis is based on measurements in 2998 individuals (1156 women and 1842 men) who had volunteered to be screened for cardiovascular disease risk factors at the South Asian Heart Center, a not-for-profit organization in Mountain View, California. The participants were part of a larger group of individuals, aged \(>18\) years, who were seen at the South Asian Heart Center between 2006 and 2014. Patients were part of a larger group of individuals, aged \(>18\) years, who were seen at the South Asian Heart Center between 2006 and 2014. Patients were excluded from this analysis if they had a diagnosis of diabetes mellitus, CHD, or cerebrovascular disease or were taking drugs that would affect carbohydrate or lipid metabolism. The Institutional Review Board at El Camino Hospital approved the study protocol, and all participants gave written informed consent.

**Experimental Measurements**

Height and weight were determined with participants in light clothing and without shoes, and BMI was calculated by dividing weight (kilograms) by height (meter squared). WC was determined according to the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey III protocol during normal minimal respiration by placing a measuring tape around the waist just above the uppermost lateral border of the iliac crest.\textsuperscript{21} The anthropometric measurements were performed consistently throughout the study. After an overnight fast, blood samples were drawn for measurement of glucose, insulin, total cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, triglyceride (TG), HDL-C, fibrinogen, and highsensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP) concentrations. Lipid and lipoprotein measurements were performed using standardized methods approved by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; these methods remained consistent throughout the study. Homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) was calculated from fasting glucose and insulin concentrations using the formula: ([fasting insulin (\(\mu U/ml\))]* [fasting glucose (mmol/L)])/22.5.\textsuperscript{22}

BMI, WC, and fasting TG concentration values were used to create the following two basic clinical categories: 1) HTG-waist and 2) HTG-BMI. An HTG-waist was defined as TG concentration \(\geq 150\) mg/dL and a WC \(\geq 80\) cm (women) or \(\geq 90\) cm (men). An HTG-BMI was defined as TG concentration \(\geq 150\) mg/dL and a BMI \(\geq 23\) kg/m\(^2\). The BMI cut-point was based on the suggestion that South Asians whose BMI exceeds this value should be considered at high risk of an undesirable health state.\textsuperscript{23}

**Statistical Analysis**

Summary statistics are reported as arithmetic mean (SD), median (interquartile range), geometric mean (95% CI), or proportions. Fasting insulin, HOMA-IR, TG, TG/HDL-C ratio, and hs-CRP values were log-transformed for statistical tests. Pearson’s chi-square was used to test for differences in proportions and independent sample t test to compare means. All statistical tests were performed using statistical software IBM-SPSS version 23.0.
RESULTS

Clinical and metabolic characteristics of the study participants are presented in Table 1. There was a greater proportion of men than women; and as a group, participants were relatively young and somewhat overweight, with a mean BMI of 25.5 kg/m². The mean values of the cardiometabolic variables measured were within conventional normal limits.

The magnitude of overlap between the two indices of obesity is shown in Table 2. Thus, of the 670 individuals with an HTG-waist, 648 (97%) also had an HTG-BMI. The degree of overlap was not quite as great in the 862 persons with an HTG-BMI, with 648 (75%) also having an HTG-waist. Put more simply, essentially anyone with an HTG-waist also had an HTG-BMI, whereas approximately one-fourth of individuals with an HTG-BMI did not have an HTG-waist.

Table 3 displays demographic characteristics and cardiometabolic risk factors, comparing those in whom an HTG-waist was present vs absent, and making a similar comparison between those with vs without an HTG-BMI. To begin with, fewer participants had an HTG-waist (n=670) than an HTG-BMI (n=862). It can be seen that every cardiometabolic risk factor, except age, was significantly different in those in whom an HTG-waist was present compared with those in whom it was absent. Essentially similar findings were seen when comparing those with an HTG-BMI with those lacking that designation.

Given the enormous degree of overlap, we cannot statistically compare the HTG-waist and HTG-BMI groups. However, it is possible to see what the characteristics would be of a population identified as being at high cardiometabolic risk on the basis of having either an HTG-waist or an HTG-BMI. When the actual data in the “Present” columns of Table 3 are viewed, it appears that the values in these two columns are almost identical, irrespective of whether the group was selected on the basis of having an HTG-waist or an HTG-BMI.

DISCUSSION

The goal of our analysis was to question the putative unique ability of using a measure of central obesity (WC) and an elevated fasting TG concentration to identify individuals as having an HTG-waist, and consequently at increased cardiometabolic risk. The hypothesis was
that such an approach would be no more clinically effective than using a measure of overall obesity (BMI) and an elevated fasting TG concentration to accomplish the same goal. The data presented seem to support this hypothesis at several levels. Perhaps the most surprising result was that essentially every participant with an HTG-waist also had an HTG-BMI.

Data in Table 3 clearly indicate that apparently healthy individuals, identified as having either an HTG-waist or an HTG-BMI, have a significantly more adverse cardiometabolic profile as compared with those persons who do not merit that designation. Thus, at a clinical level either approach seems able to stratify risk. Given the dramatic degree of overlap, we cannot compare the actual values of individual risk factors in those identified on the basis of an HTG-waist vs an HTG-BMI.

In view of this simple demographic finding, there was no reason to expect that the cardiometabolic risk profile would be different in apparently healthy South Asians identified on the basis of an HTG-waist vs an HTG-BMI.

Perhaps the most surprising result was that essentially every participant with an HTG-waist also had an HTG-BMI.

---

**Table 3. Clinical and metabolic characteristics of the subjects divided into HTG-waist and HTG-BMI phenotypes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>HTG-waist&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Absent, n = 2328</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>HTG-BMI&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Absent, n = 2136</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>44 (9)</td>
<td>43 (10)</td>
<td>.34</td>
<td>43 (9)</td>
<td>43 (10)</td>
<td>.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m²</td>
<td>27.4 (3.0)</td>
<td>24.9 (3.5)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>26.9 (2.9)</td>
<td>24.9 (3.7)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC, cm</td>
<td>95 (8)</td>
<td>86 (10)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>93 (8)</td>
<td>86 (10)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic BP, mm Hg</td>
<td>124 (16)</td>
<td>118 (16)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>123 (16)</td>
<td>118 (16)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic BP, mm Hg</td>
<td>79 (10)</td>
<td>74 (10)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>78 (10)</td>
<td>74 (10)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate, beats/min</td>
<td>70 (10)</td>
<td>68 (10)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>69 (10)</td>
<td>68 (10)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting glucose, mg/dL</td>
<td>91 (10)</td>
<td>88 (10)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>91 (10)</td>
<td>88 (10)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting insulin, µU/mL&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>12.9 (12.4 – 13.5)</td>
<td>8.4 (8.2 – 8.6)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>12.2 (11.8 – 12.6)</td>
<td>8.3 (8.1 – 8.4)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMA-IR&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.87 (2.75 – 2.99)</td>
<td>1.81 (1.77 – 1.85)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>2.70 (2.60 – 2.81)</td>
<td>1.77 (1.73 – 1.81)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol, mg/dL</td>
<td>206 (36)</td>
<td>186 (35)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>206 (36)</td>
<td>185 (34)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG, mg/dL&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>210 (204 – 217)</td>
<td>103 (102 – 105)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>211 (206 – 216)</td>
<td>97 (95 – 98)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL cholesterol, mg/dL</td>
<td>40 (9)</td>
<td>49 (13)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>40 (9)</td>
<td>50 (13)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL cholesterol, mg/dL</td>
<td>123 (32)</td>
<td>114 (30)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>122 (33)</td>
<td>114 (30)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG/HDL cholesterol ratio&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.37 (5.15 – 5.60)</td>
<td>2.18 (2.13 – 2.23)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>5.39 (5.21 – 5.57)</td>
<td>2.00 (1.96 – 2.05)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC/HDL cholesterol ratio</td>
<td>5.3 (1.1)</td>
<td>4.0 (1.1)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>5.3 (1.2)</td>
<td>3.9 (1.0)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibrinogen, µmol/L</td>
<td>11.8 (2.3)</td>
<td>11.5 (2.5)</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>11.5 (2.3)</td>
<td>11.5 (2.5)</td>
<td>.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hs-CRP, mg/L&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.66 (1.53 – 1.81)</td>
<td>1.22 (1.16 – 1.27)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>1.47 (1.37 – 1.59)</td>
<td>1.24 (1.18 – 1.30)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are arithmetic mean (SD) unless otherwise noted.

a. Geometric mean (95% CI). FPI, HOMA-IR, TG, TG/HDL cholesterol ratio, and hs-CRP were log-transformed for statistical tests. Means were compared by independent sample t test.

b. HTG-waist: TG ≥150 mg/dL and WC ≥80 cm (women) or ≥90 cm (men).

c. HTG-BMI: TG ≥150 mg/dL and BMI ≥23.0 kg/m².

BMI, body mass index; BP, blood pressure; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; hs-CRP, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance; HTG, hypertriglyceridemic; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; TG, triglycerides; WC, waist circumference.
Based on the findings presented, it seems reasonable to conclude that the combination of an elevated TG concentration and overall obesity (HTG-BMI) can identify cardiometabolic risk in apparently healthy individuals as effectively as the combination of an elevated TG concentration and abdominal obesity (HTG-waist). In this context, we hope that a research group with an appropriate database will evaluate the relative abilities of an HTG-BMI and an HTG-waist to predict CHD outcome in South Asians, as well as other racial/ethnic populations.
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